

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/788,771	10/788,771 02/27/2004		Pieter G. Wybro	MOD013/145573	8450	
23444	7590	11/28/2006		EXAMINER SWINEHART, EDWIN L		
		RTH, L.L.P.				
600 TRAVIS, SUITE 4200 HOUSTON, TX 77002				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			•	3617		
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	pplication No. Applicant(s)						
		10/788,771		WYBRO ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ed Swineha		3617					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state teply received by the Office later than three months after the managed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no even od will apply and will tute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ation to become ABANDONED	L. ely filed the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	·								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	? September 20	06.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7,9-14,16,23-26,30-32,34-37,39,	41,42 and 45-4	7 is/are pending in th	e application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
6)⊠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
7)									
8) 🗌	· <u> </u>								
Applicati	ion Papers			•					
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		· .	•					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P							
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	atent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/22/2006 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3,5-7,10-14,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Finn et al.

Finn discloses the claimed invention, including production risers **16** coupled to a subsea well, and suspended at an upper end thereof above deck, and laterally supported at a lower elevation at **22**.

4. Claims 1-7,9-14,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by White et al.

White discloses the claimed invention, including a column supporting a deck above a submerged hull. Risers, connected in fluid communication with a subsea well, are suspended at **9**, such suspension inherently placing the riser in tension (therefore **9** are "tensioners" as claimed). The risers are laterally supported at a second elevation

Art Unit: 3617

below the suspension point, at either inwardly or outwardly facing parts of the hull (note figures 6 and 8). Such risers having side entry to the guides.

5. Claims 23,25,26,31,32,34,35,36,39,41,42 and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thomas.

Thomas discloses the claimed invention, including an upper deck supported above a submerged hull by a plurality of columns. A plurality of apertures 22 are provided, one for each riser. The risers are suspended from above the main deck by tensioners. Moorings 26 are provided as well. A "bearing assembly" 28 is provided, and permits movement as claimed.

Re claim 23, the "bearing assembly" **40** is affixed to the bottom hull surface, which is an exterior surface.

Re claim 45, such fails to define over Thomas, as the bearing assembly is disposed at a juncture of the bottom, which is outwardly facing. The word "at" does not mean "on".

The passage **22** may be referred to as a moonpool.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 24 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomas in view of Eie.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,771

Art Unit: 3617

Thomas fails to show the moorings assuming a generally vertical orientation, as they extend in catenaries.

Eie teaches vertically oriented moorings 13.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a mooring arrangement to Thomas as taught by Eie.

Such a combination would have been desirable so as to reduce cost.

8. Claims 23-26,30,31,32,34,35 and 45-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Petersen in view of Eie.

Petersen discloses a series of risers coupled about the interior moonpool perimeter of the hull. The risers are laterally inserted into keel guides, and tensioned by winches which allow vertical movement as is known in the art. Petersen fails to show a mooring.

Eie is applied as above.

- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ed Swinehart whose telephone number is 571-272-6688. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30 am to 2:00 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,771

Art Unit: 3617

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ed Swinehart
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3617